



Main Line: (803) 737-0800 Legal Department: (803) 737-0877

FLORENCE P. BELSER GENERAL COUNSEL

April 10, 2007

#### VIA E-FILING AND HAND DELIVERY

Charles L.A. Terreni Chief Clerk/Administrator South Carolina Public Service Commission 101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Re:

Petition of the Office of Regulatory Staff to Establish Dockets to Consider Implementing the Requirements of Section 1251 (Net Metering and Additional Standards) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005

PSC Docket No.: 2005-385-E

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed please find the original and one copy of the Direct Testimony and Exhibits of A. Randy Watts in the above referenced docket.

Please note that the attached documents are exact duplicates, with the exception of the form of the signature, of the e-filed copy submitted to the Commission in accordance with its electronic filing instructions.

By copy of this letter we are also serving all other parties of record. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Nanette S. Edwards

Shannon Bowyer Hudson

Nouse S. Edwards

NSE-SBH/pjm Enclosure

cc:

Parties of Record

# THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

**OF** 

### A. RANDY WATTS APRIL 10, 2007



DOCKET NO. 2005-385-E EPAct 2005 - Net Metering

1 2 3 4 5		TESTIMONY OF A. RANDY WATTS ON BEHALF OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF DOCKET NO. 2005-385-E
6 7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND
8		OCCUPATION.
9	<b>A.</b>	My name is Randy Watts. My business address is 1441 Main Street,
10		Suite 300, Columbia, South Carolina 29201. I am employed by the State of
11		South Carolina as Program Manager of the Electric Department for the Office
12		of Regulatory Staff ("ORS").
13	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
14		EXPERIENCE.
15	<b>A.</b>	I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering
16		from the University of South Carolina in Columbia in 1976. I was employed
17		at that time by the Public Service Commission of South Carolina
18		("Commission") as a Utilities Engineer in the Electric Department and was
19		promoted to Chief of the Electric Department in August 1981. Subsequent to
20		internal Commission restructuring, my position was designated Chief of
21		Electric in October 1999. I remained in that role until transferring to my
22		current position with ORS in January 2005. I have testified on numerous
23		occasions before the Commission in conjunction with fuel clause, territorial
24		assignment, Siting Act, complaint and general rate proceedings.

1	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
2		PROCEEDING?
3	<b>A.</b>	The purpose of my testimony is to provide the Office of Regulatory
4		Staff's remarks regarding consideration of the implementation of Net
5		Metering and certain Additional Standards as required under Section 1251 of
6		the Energy Policy Act of 2005 ("EPAct").
7	Q.	WHICH ELECTRIC UTILITIES IN SOUTH CAROLINA ARE
8		SUBJECT TO THIS PROCEEDING?
9	<b>A.</b>	The South Carolina retail operations of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC,
10		Carolina Power & Light d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc., and South
11		Carolina Electric & Gas Company.
12	Q.	PLEASE ELABORATE ON THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION
13		1251 OF THE ENERGY POLICY ACT OF 2005.
14	<b>A.</b>	Under EPAct 2005, state commissions are required to consider certain
15		standards to encourage better utilization of energy resources with respect to
16		each electric utility for which the state commission has ratemaking authority.
17		Specifically, Section 1251 requires states to consider, but does not mandate:
18		(1) implementation of Net Metering service, (2) development of a plan to
19		minimize dependence on one fuel source and ensure power generated for
20		consumer consumption is from a diverse range of fuels and technologies, and
21		(3) development of a 10-year plan to increase the efficiency of the utility's
22		fossil fuel generation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

0.

Α.

In considering each standard the commission is to make a determination as to whether or not it is appropriate to implement such standard. If the commission has previously implemented or conducted a proceeding with regards to a particular standard or the state legislature has voted on the implementation of a standard, then the commission is not required to take any action on that standard. MR. WATTS, ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY COMMISSION ORDERS OR SOUTH CAROLINA STATUTES ADDRESSING DEVELOPMENT OF A PLAN TO MINIMIZE DEPENDENCE ON ONE FUEL SOURCE AS WELL AS UTILIZATION OF A DIVERSE RANGE OF FUELS AND TECHNOLOGIES FOR THE GENERATION OF POWER? Yes. The Commission currently requires by order an annual filing from each of the electric utilities a fifteen year plan addressing load forecast, plant efficiency measures, and resource diversity among other issues. These filings are generally referred to as Integrated Resource Plans or IRPs and are filed pursuant to Commission Order No. 98-502. In addition, South Carolina Code Ann. Section 58-33-430 requires that electric utilities prepare and file a ten year forecast of loads and resources as well as a list of the major utility facilities that will be necessary to meet system requirements during the forecast period. Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-27-865, the Commission established annual prudency proceedings to review the fuel

purchasing practices and costs associated with power supplied to retail

consumers by the three major investor-owned electric utilities. In conjunction

Q.

Α.

with this process, the utilities are required to file monthly reports detailing plant operations and fuel costs which allow continuing review and monitoring by ORS.

The Commission has also issued orders establishing rates for the purchase of power by the investor-owned utilities from Qualifying Facilities or QFs as required by the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 ("PURPA") which was one part of the National Energy Act. The purpose of this section of PURPA was to encourage conservation of energy and efficient use of energy resources by encouraging production of electric power by cogeneration and small power producers.

ARE THERE ANY COMMISSION ORDERS, SOUTH CAROLINA STATUTES OR OTHER STATE REGULATORY ACTIVITIES THAT ADDRESS THE DEVELOPMENT OF A 10-YEAR PLAN TO INCREASE THE EFFICIENCY OF THE UTILITIES' FOSSIL FUEL GENERATION?

Yes. The previously discussed IRP filings along with the annual fuel proceedings and the monthly fuel and plant operations reports address this issue also. In addition, during calendar year 2006 ORS performed a detailed and extensive analysis and study of each of the three investor-owned electric utilities' fuel costs and purchasing policies which were filed with the Commission. These studies included an extensive review of such areas as fuel procurement, transportation of fuel, generation planning, fuel mix, purchased power, and inventory management among others. It is important to note here

A.

Yes.

1		also that several of our State's IOU's coal-fired plants have consistently been
2		ranked in the top twenty by heat rate efficiency for many years. This lends
3		further credence to the position that the systems currently in place support
4		efficient plant operations in South Carolina.
5	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR OPINION OF THE GENERATION RESOURCE MIX
6		OF THE THREE INVESTOR-OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES?
7	<b>A.</b>	As demonstrated by Exhibit ARW-1, the IOUs have a diverse range of
8		generation mix which resulted from a combination of all the activities
9		associated with the continuing reviews, analyses and proceedings mentioned
10		previously. The mix includes energy from all the major proven technological
11		sources of generation. One additional important facet of the process for
12		ensuring the most appropriate resource selection includes the certification
13		requirements for new resource additions required by the South Carolina
14		Utility Facility Siting and Environmental Protection Act. Among
15		requirements that must be met are: (1) the basis for the need of the proposed
16		facility, (2) that it will serve the interests of system economy and reliability,
17		and (3) that consideration be given to the nature and economics of the various
18		alternatives.
19	Q.	FROM YOUR ANALYSIS, HAVE YOU CONCLUDED THAT THESE
20		TWO ADDITIONAL STANDARDS UNDER SECTION 1251 OF
21		EPACT HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED?

1	Q.	MR. WATTS, WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE TERM				
2		NET METERING SERVICE?				
3	<b>A.</b>	The language in EPAct states that "net metering service" means				
4		service to an electric consumer under which electric energy generated by that				
5		electric consumer from an eligible on-site generating facility and delivered to				
6		the local distribution facilities may be used to offset electric energy provided				
7		by the electric utility to the consumer during the applicable billing period.				
8		More simply stated, net metering originated as a way to encourage				
9		consumers to invest in renewable energy sources such as solar or wind power.				
10		Generally, in a net metering program, the IOU allows a customer's meter to				
11		run in reverse if the electricity the customer generates is more than the				
12		customer is consuming. Generally speaking, at the end of the billing period,				
13		the customer only pays for his or her net consumption, which is the amount of				
14		resources consumed, minus the amount of resources generated.				
15	Q.	MR. WATTS, ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY COMMISSION ORDERS				
16		OR SOUTH CAROLINA STATUTES ADDRESSING				
17		CONSIDERATION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF NET				

I am not aware of any specific State statutes or Commission orders that
have been promulgated or issued regarding the availability of Net Metering

service to the electric consumers in South Carolina.

**METERING SERVICE?** 

1	Q.	WHAT IS ORS'S POSITION WITH REGARDS TO THE INVESTOR-
2		OWNED ELECTRIC UTILITIES IN SOUTH CAROLINA OFFERING
3		NET METERING SERVICE TO THEIR CONSUMERS?
4	<b>A.</b>	ORS believes this proceeding offers a unique opportunity for South
5		Carolina to adopt a program for Net Metering that is beneficial, equitable, and
6		fair to both the retail ratepayers and investor-owned electric utilities in South
7		Carolina. For various reasons many other states have previously reviewed or
8		addressed the net metering issue. As a result, South Carolina can not only take
9		advantage of the beneficial outcomes and developments achieved from the
10		experience of others but also avoid some of the arduous process and pitfalls
11		that other jurisdictions have undergone. As a result, South Carolina can adopt
12		a program that will be the best fit for our State.
13	Q.	DOES ORS HAVE A RECOMMENDATION FOR IMPLEMENTING
14		NET METERING SERVICE IN SOUTH CAROLINA?
15	<b>A.</b>	Yes. ORS is aware that two of the three investor-owned utilities in
16		South Carolina, namely Progress Energy Carolinas Inc. and Duke Energy
17		Carolinas, LLC, already have a net metering service program that was adopted
18		in their North Carolina jurisdiction in late 2005. We have evaluated the North
19		Carolina program and consider it to be reasonable and appropriate for
20		implementation in South Carolina. We believe this has the potential to
21		promote utilization of renewable resources by providing consumers a more
22		economical opportunity for self-generation. Utilizing this program will also

- allow for the sharing of existing synergies and arguably mitigate associated costs that would be borne by the participants.
- 3 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 4 **A.** Yes it does.

## Percent Generation Mix by Fuel Type and Purchased Power 2005 <u>Docket No. 2005-385-E</u>

	<u>SCE&amp;G</u> 1	<u>DEC</u> <sup>2</sup>	<u>PEC</u> <sup>3</sup>
Nuclear	19.0%	47.0%	39.5%
Coal	68.0%	50.9%	48.3%
Natural Gas/Oil	6.3%	0.0%	3.6%
Hydro	4.7%	1.6%	1.2%
Purchased	2.0%	0.5%	7.4%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> South Carolina Electric & Gas Company
<sup>2</sup> Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
<sup>3</sup> Carolina Power & Light d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.

#### **BEFORE**

#### THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

#### SOUTH CAROLINA

#### **DOCKET NO. 2005-385-E**

IN RE:		
Petition of the Office of Regulatory Staff to	)	
Establish Dockets to Consider Implementing	)	CERTIFICATE OF
the Requirements of Section 1251 (Net	)	SERVICE
Metering and Additional Standards) of the	)	
Energy Policy Act of 2005	)	

This is to certify that I, Pamela J. McMullan, an employee with the Office of Regulatory Staff, have this date served one (1) copy of the **DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS OF A. RANDY WATTS** in the above-referenced matter to the person(s) named below by causing said copy to be deposited in the United States Postal Service, first class postage prepaid and affixed thereto, and addressed as shown below:

Len S. Anthony Deputy General Counsel, Regulatory Affairs Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. P.O. Box 1551 Raleigh, NC 27602

Catherine Heigel
Duke Energy Corporation
Post Office Box 1006, EC03T
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1066

John F. Hardaway 1338 Pickens Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Pamela Greenlaw 1001 Wotan Road Columbia, South Carolina 29229 Richard L. Whitt, Esquire Austin, Lewis & Rogers, P.A. Post Office Box 11716 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Catherine D. Taylor, Esquire South Carolina Electric & Gas Company 1426 Main Street, MC 130 Columbia, South Carolina 29201 (803) 217-7880

Mel Jenkins 3324 Montgomery Avenue Columbia, South Carolina 29205

Ruth Thomas 1339 Sinkler Road Columbia, South Carolina 29206

Pamela J. McMullan

April 10, 2007 Columbia, South Carolina